# EMBARGOED FOR RELEASE: Friday, January 10 at 6:00 p.m. 

## SElzER \& Company

Study \#2206
3,131 active registered voters in Iowa, including 701 likely Democratic caucusgoers

January 2-8, 2020
Margin of error for all registered voters: $\pm 1.8$ percentage points
Margin of error for likely Democratic caucusgoers: $\pm 3.7$ percentage points

## Percentages may not add to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

[Ask all.] First, how likely is it you will attend the Democratic party caucuses scheduled for next month-will you definitely attend, probably attend, might or might not attend, or probably not attend?

Dec-18, Mar-19: How likely is it you will attend one of the caucuses scheduled for February of 2020 when presidential candidates will be on the agenda-will you definitely attend, probably attend, or probably not attend? (If definitely or probably attend, ask:) Will you attend the Democratic or the Republican caucus? [Mar-19: Note to interviewer: If respondent asks, virtually or in person? The words I have are "attend." In other words, offer no further clarification.]
\#Jun-19: This year the Democratic caucuses will be a little different. In addition to the regular meetings on caucus night, the Democratic Party of Iowa will convene virtual caucuses to take place online or by phone on several days leading up to the traditional, inperson caucus meetings on February 3rd. Do you think you would definitely participate in the $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ caucuses-either in person or in a virtual caucus-probably participate, might or might not participate, or probably not participate?

Sep-19: First, how likely is it you will attend the Democratic party caucuses scheduled for February of 2020-will you definitely attend, probably attend, might or might not attend, or probably not attend?

Nov-19: How likely is it you will attend one of the caucuses scheduled for February of 2020 when presidential candidates will be on the agenda-will you definitely attend, probably attend, might or might not attend, or probably not attend? (If definitely or probably attend, ask:) Will you attend the Democratic or the Republican caucus?

|  | Among likely Democratic caucusgoers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Definitely attend Democratic caucus | Probably attend Democratic caucus | Definitely attend Republican caucus | Probably attend Republican caucus | Might or might not attend a caucus | Probably not attend a caucus | ```Don't know which caucus will attend``` | Not sure |
| Jan-20 | 66 | 34 | n/a | n/a | - | - | n/a | - |
| Nov-19 | 63 | 37 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-19 | 60 | 40 | n/a | n/a | n/a | - | n/a | - |
| \#Jun-19 | 62 | 38 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - |
| Mar-19 | 50 | 50 | - | - | n/a | - | - | - |
| Dec-18 | 57 | 43 | - | - | n/a | - | - | - |

[^0] person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Have you in the past attended party caucuses to show your preference for presidential candidates, or would this be your first time to participate? (If attended in the past, ask:) Was that a Republican caucus, a Democratic caucus, or both?

Mar-19, Jun-19: Have you attended caucuses in the past or will this be your first caucus? (If attended in past, ask:) Was that a Republican caucus, a Democratic caucus, or both?

|  | Attended <br> Republican caucus <br> in the past | Attended <br> Democratic caucus <br> in the past | Attended <br> both | First <br> caucus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-20 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Not <br> sure |
| Nov-19 | 4 | 57 | 9 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| Sep-19 | 8 | 64 | 9 | 19 |
| \#Jun-19 | 4 | 72 | 7 | 17 |
| Mar-19 | 6 | 62 | 7 | - |
| Dec-18 | 11 | 60 | 7 | 25 |

Which one of the following Democrats would be your first choice for president? (Read list and rotate.) And who would your second choice be? (If None of these or Not sure in first choice question, record "No first choice" in second choice question and do not ask. Read list only if necessary.)
(Ask all.) I'm going to read the list one more time, excluding any names you may have already mentioned. This time, please tell me if the person is someone you are actively considering or not. Just answer yes or no. (Read list, excluding answers to first and second choice questions. Mark all yeses. Same order as first choice question.)
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll} \\ & & & & & \\ \\ & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Candidate } \\ \text { footprint } \\ \text { (Added }\end{array} \\ \text { across }\end{array}\right)$

Consistent with CNN practices, a zero in the horserace question indicates the candidate received no responses. An asterisk indicates at least one respondent selected the candidate, but the percentage rounds down to zero (<0.5).
\#Jun-19: Not directly comparable. Percentages are among likely Democratic caucus participants who said they would definitely or probably attend in person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccccc} \\ & & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Candidate } \\ \text { footprint } \\ \text { (Added }\end{array} \\ \text { across }\end{array}\right)$

Consistent with CNN practices, a zero in the horserace question indicates the candidate received no responses. An asterisk indicates at least one respondent selected the candidate, but the percentage rounds down to zero (<0.5).
\#Jun-19: Not directly comparable. Percentages are among likely Democratic caucus participants who said they would definitely or probably attend in person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. How would you describe your level of enthusiasm for your first-choice candidate [CANDIDATE NAME]-are you extremely enthusiastic, very enthusiastic, mildly enthusiastic, or not that enthusiastic? (Asked only of those who selected a first-choice candidate, but total based on all.)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { Extremely } \\ \text { enthusiastic }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { enthusiastic }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Mildly } \\ \text { enthusiastic }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Not that } \\ \text { enthusiastic }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Not } \\ \text { sure }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { No first-choice } \\ \text { candidate }\end{array}\right]$

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Regardless of your level of enthusiasm, would you say your mind is made up to support [FIRST CHOICE CANDIDATE] or could you still be persuaded to support another candidate as your first choice? (Asked only of those who selected a first-choice candidate, but total based on all.)

|  | Mind is <br> made up | Could be <br> persuaded | Not <br> sure | No first-choice <br> candidate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-20 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| Nov-19 | 30 | 62 | 1 | 7 |
| Sep-19 | 20 | 63 | 1 | 16 |

Now, I'm going to mention some candidates for the Democratic nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, mostly favorable, mostly unfavorable, or very unfavorable. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so. (Record "don't know" as "not sure." Rotate list.)

## Comparisons to the final poll of the 2016 caucus cycle are included where available. Among likely Democratic caucusgoers in late Jan-16: Now, I'm going to mention some prominent politicians, including presidential candidates. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, mostly favorable, mostly unfavorable, or very unfavorable.

| Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. |  | Fav | Unf | Very <br> Favorable | Mostly Favorable | Mostly Unfavorable | Very <br> Unfavorable | Not Sure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michael Bennet | Jan-20 | 17 | 32 | 3 | 14 | 22 | 11 | 51 |
| Michael Bennet, U.S. senator from Colorado | Nov-19 | 25 | 27 | 5 | 20 | 19 | 8 | 47 |
|  | Sep-19 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 57 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 19 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 70 |
|  | Mar-19 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 75 |
| Joe Biden | Jan-20 | 62 | 33 | 22 | 40 | 20 | 13 | 5 |
| Joe Biden, former vice president of the United States | Nov-19 | 64 | 33 | 24 | 40 | 19 | 14 | 3 |
|  | Sep-19 | 66 | 29 | 29 | 38 | 17 | 12 | 5 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 72 | 24 | 34 | 38 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
|  | Mar-19 | 81 | 15 | 45 | 37 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Dec-18 | 82 | 15 | 47 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 4 |
| Joe Biden | late Jan-16 | 81 | 11 | 41 | 40 | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| Michael Bloomberg <br> Michael Bloomberg, former mayor of New York City | Jan-20 | 17 | 58 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 31 | 25 |
|  | Nov-19 | 19 | 58 | 5 | 14 | 28 | 30 | 23 |
|  | Mar-19 | 27 | 38 | 3 | 24 | 27 | 11 | 34 |
|  | Dec-18 | 40 | 31 | 8 | 32 | 20 | 11 | 29 |
| Michael Bloomberg | late Jan-16 | 17 | 26 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 9 | 57 |
| Cory Booker <br> Cory Booker, U.S. senator from New Jersey | Jan-20 | 53 | 26 | 13 | 40 | 17 | 9 | 21 |
|  | Nov-19 | 52 | 28 | 14 | 38 | 20 | 9 | 19 |
|  | Sep-19 | 60 | 22 | 18 | 42 | 14 | 8 | 18 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 55 | 19 | 20 | 35 | 13 | 6 | 26 |
|  | Mar-19 | 53 | 14 | 13 | 39 | 9 | 5 | 33 |
|  | Dec-18 | 49 | 12 | 18 | 31 | 6 | 6 | 39 |

[^1] person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

| Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. |  | Fav | Unf | Very <br> Favorable | Mostly Favorable | Mostly <br> Unfavorable | Very <br> Unfavorable | Not Sure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pete Buttigieg | Jan-20 | 68 | 24 | 34 | 34 | 15 | 9 | 8 |
| Pete Buttigieg, mayor of South Bend, Indiana | Nov-19 | 72 | 16 | 42 | 30 | 10 | 6 | 12 |
|  | Sep-19 | 69 | 13 | 33 | 35 | 8 | 5 | 18 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 61 | 12 | 32 | 29 | 7 | 5 | 26 |
|  | Mar-19 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 73 |
| John Delaney | Jan-20 | 15 | 40 | 1 | 14 | 25 | 15 | 45 |
| John Delaney, former U.S. representative from Maryland | Nov-19 | 18 | 34 | 3 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 48 |
|  | Sep-19 | 17 | 34 | 3 | 14 | 23 | 11 | 49 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 27 | 18 | 6 | 21 | 13 | 5 | 55 |
|  | Mar-19 | 27 | 12 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 5 | 61 |
| John Delaney, U.S. congressman from Maryland | Dec-18 | 25 | 11 | 6 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 64 |
| Tulsi Gabbard | Jan-20 | 16 | 58 | 3 | 13 | 26 | 31 | 27 |
| Tulsi Gabbard, U.S. representative from Hawaii | Nov-19 | 25 | 45 | 7 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 30 |
|  | Sep-19 | 26 | 31 | 6 | 20 | 21 | 10 | 43 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 23 | 21 | 5 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 57 |
|  | Mar-19 | 22 | 15 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 64 |
| Amy Klobuchar | Jan-20 | 53 | 25 | 18 | 35 | 15 | 10 | 22 |
| Amy Klobuchar, U.S. senator from Minnesota | Nov-19 | 53 | 23 | 20 | 33 | 16 | 8 | 24 |
|  | Sep-19 | 52 | 22 | 17 | 36 | 16 | 6 | 26 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 44 | 18 | 12 | 32 | 14 | 4 | 38 |
|  | Mar-19 | 43 | 15 | 13 | 30 | 9 | 6 | 41 |
|  | Dec-18 | 38 | 8 | 16 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 54 |
| Deval Patrick | Jan-20 | 8 | 29 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 12 | 63 |
| Bernie Sanders | Jan-20 | 66 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 16 | 13 | 5 |
| Bernie Sanders, U.S. senator from Vermont | Nov-19 | 61 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 23 | 12 | 4 |
|  | Sep-19 | 58 | 36 | 26 | 33 | 24 | 13 | 5 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 70 | 25 | 32 | 37 | 17 | 8 | 5 |
|  | Mar-19 | 71 | 25 | 37 | 34 | 16 | 9 | 4 |
|  | Dec-18 | 74 | 22 | 43 | 31 | 12 | 10 | 4 |
| Bernie Sanders | late Jan-16 | 82 | 12 | 42 | 40 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| Tom Steyer | Jan-20 | 43 | 38 | 9 | 34 | 23 | 15 | 19 |
| Tom Steyer, businessman | Nov-19 | 37 | 39 | 10 | 27 | 24 | 15 | 24 |
|  | Sep-19 | 27 | 32 | 7 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 41 |
| Tom Steyer, hedge fund manager and activist | Dec-18 | 19 | 19 | 5 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 61 |
| Elizabeth Warren | Jan-20 | 70 | 24 | 32 | 38 | 14 | 10 | 6 |
| Elizabeth Warren, U.S. senator from Massachusetts | Nov-19 | 71 | 25 | 36 | 34 | 14 | 11 | 5 |
|  | Sep-19 | 75 | 17 | 44 | 31 | 11 | 7 | 8 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 71 | 17 | 38 | 33 | 10 | 7 | 12 |
|  | Mar-19 | 63 | 20 | 25 | 38 | 12 | 8 | 17 |
|  | Dec-18 | 64 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 13 | 7 | 16 |
| Elizabeth Warren | late Jan-16 | 47 | 7 | 30 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 46 |
| Marianne Williamson | Jan-20 | 8 | 51 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 27 | 41 |
| Marianne Williamson, author | Nov-19 | 11 | 47 | 4 | 7 | 22 | 25 | 41 |
|  | Sep-19 | 9 | 48 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 23 | 42 |
| Marianne Williamson, author and activist | \#Jun-19 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 73 |
|  | Mar-19 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 80 |
| Andrew Yang | Jan-20 | 61 | 25 | 17 | 44 | 17 | 8 | 14 |
| Andrew Yang, businessman | Nov-19 | 43 | 33 | 11 | 33 | 23 | 10 | 24 |
|  | Sep-19 | 36 | 32 | 7 | 29 | 22 | 10 | 32 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 19 | 15 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 66 |
|  | Mar-19 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 81 |
|  | Dec-18 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 83 |

\#Jun-19: Not directly comparable. Percentages are among likely Democratic caucus participants who said they would definitely or probably attend in person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Which is more important to you personally: (Read items. Rotate.)


Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Do you feel mostly optimistic or mostly pessimistic that the Democratic caucuses and primaries will result in a nominee who can defeat President Trump?

|  | Optimistic | Pessimistic | Not sure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-20 | 68 | 24 | 8 |

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. I'm going to read a list of ways some people make up their minds about which candidate they will support on caucus night. For each, please tell me how important it is for you, personallyextremely important, just important, or not that important to you? (Read list. Rotate.)

|  | Extremely <br> important | Not that <br> important |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Not <br> Sure |  |  |
| What your gut instinct tells you |  |  |

[^2] person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. We're interested in issues that affect which candidate you will support. For each of the following, please tell me if this is extremely important, just important, or not that important to you as you evaluate candidates. (Rotate list.)

|  | Extremely <br> important | 68 | 25 | Not that <br> Important <br> important |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health care | Not <br> sure |  |  |  |
| Foreign policy | 57 | 36 | 3 | 4 |
| The gap between rich and poor | 59 | 27 | 10 | 4 |
| Climate change | 68 | 22 | 7 | 4 |
| Immigration | 47 | 40 | 9 | 4 |
| Race relations | 50 | 36 | 9 | 5 |
| The economy | 52 | 40 | 4 | 4 |
| Taxes | 36 | 46 | 14 | 4 |
| Impeachment | 25 | 36 | 33 | 6 |

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Regardless of who is your first-choice candidate, which candidate do you think has the health care policy position that aligns most closely with your views? (Allow one choice only. Do not read list unless requested.)

2 Michael Bennet
16 Joe Biden

- Michael Bloomberg

2 Cory Booker
15 Pete Buttigieg

- John Delaney

1 Tulsi Gabbard
5 Amy Klobuchar

- Deval Patrick

24 Bernie Sanders
1 Tom Steyer
14 Elizabeth Warren

- Marianne Williamson

3 Andrew Yang
17 None of these/not sure (VOL)
\#Jun-19: Not directly comparable. Percentages are among likely Democratic caucus participants who said they would definitely or probably attend in person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers who have a first-choice candidate; $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{6 0 5}$. MoE: $\pm 4.0 \%$ pts. I'd like you to think about your support for [FIRST-CHOICE CANDIDATE] and tell me for each of the following if you consider this more of a strength of the candidate or more of a weakness. (Rotate list.)

|  | More of a strength | More of a weakness | Both/ Neither (VOL) | Not sure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The candidate's political résumé Dem CGsw/1st choice | 79 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| Biden supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 87 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Buttigieg supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 59 | 34 | 1 | 6 |
| Sanders supporters (MoE: $\pm 8.8 \%$ pts.) | 87 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Warren supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.3 \%$ pts.) | 93 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| The candidate's physical health Dem CGsw/1st choice | 72 | 18 | 1 | 9 |
| Biden supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 55 | 28 | 1 | 15 |
| Buttigieg supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 95 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Sanders supporters (MoE: $\pm 8.8 \%$ pts.) | 37 | 49 | 3 | 10 |
| Warren supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.3 \%$ pts.) | 86 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
| The candidate's ability to understand the problems |  |  |  |  |
| facing people like you <br> Dem CGs w/1st choice | 92 | 1 | - | 7 |
| Biden supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 86 | 4 | - | 10 |
| Buttigieg supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 95 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Sanders supporters (MoE: $\pm 8.8 \%$ pts.) | 91 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Warren supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.3 \%$ pts.) | 96 | - | - | 4 |
| The candidate's ability to lead the military |  |  |  |  |
| Dem CGs w/1st choice | 69 | 18 | 1 | 12 |
| Biden supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 86 | 1 | - | 12 |
| Buttigieg supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.2 \%$ pts.) | 87 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| Sanders supporters (MoE: $\pm 8.8 \%$ pts.) | 61 | 23 | 1 | 15 |
| Warren supporters (MoE: $\pm 9.3 \%$ pts.) | 58 | 29 | 1 | 11 |

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers who have a first-choice candidate; $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{6 0 5}$. MoE: $\pm \mathbf{4 . 0 \%} \mathrm{pts}$. Which of the following best describes your first-choice candidate: (Rotate order: 1,2,3 and 3,2,1.)

| Dem CGs <br> w/1st choice | Biden <br> supporters | Buttigieg <br> supporters | Sanders <br> supporters | Warren <br> supporters | (he one I like best and has the best chance to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 69 | 54 | 66 | 55 | Is the one <br> defeat President Trump |
| 24 | 5 | 28 | 20 | 31 | Is the one I like best, though someone else has a <br> better chance to defeat President Trump |
| 9 | 14 | 9 | 6 | Is not the one I like best, but has the best chance <br> to defeat President Trump |  |
| 9 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 8 | Not sure |

[^3] person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

## Likely Democratic caucusgoers

## Compared to:

Study \#2202
500 likely Democratic caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 4.4$ percentage points
Study \#2196
602 likely Democratic caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 4.0$ percentage points
Study \#2190
433 Likely in-person Democratic caucus attenders
Margin of error: $\pm 4.7$ percentage points
Study \#2185
401 likely Democratic caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 5.0$ percentage points
Study \#2182
455 likely Democratic caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 4.6$ percentage points
Study \#2133
602 Democratic likely caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 4.0$ percentage points for
Study \#1921
399 Democratic likely caucus-goers
October 1-3, 2007
Margin of error: $\pm 4.9$ percentage points
November 8-13, 2019
2,012 active registered voter contacts
weighted by age and congressional district
September 14-18, 2019
3,510 active registered voter contacts weighted by age, sex, and congressional district

June 2-5, 2019
3,776 active registered voter contacts weighted by age, sex, and congressional district

March 3-6, 2019
1,618 active registered voter contacts weighted by age and sex

December 10-13, 2018
1,838 active registered voter contacts weighted by age and congressional district

January 26-29, 2016
3,019 contacts weighted by age, sex, and congressional district to conform to active voters in the Iowa voter registration list


#### Abstract

About the Poll The Iowa Poll, conducted January 2-8, 2020, for The Des Moines Register, CNN and Mediacom by Selzer \& Co. of Des Moines, is based on telephone interviews with 3,131 registered voters in Iowa, including 701 who say they will definitely or probably attend the 2020 Democratic caucuses.

Interviewers with Quantel Research contacted randomly selected active voters from the Iowa secretary of state's voter registration list by telephone. The sample was supplemented with additional phone number lookups. Interviews were administered in English. Responses for all registered voters were adjusted by age and congressional district to reflect their proportions among active voters in the list.

Questions based on the sample of 701 voters likely to attend the 2020 Iowa Democratic caucuses have a maximum margin of error of plus or minus 3.7 percentage points. Questions based on the sample of 3,131 registered voters have a maximum margin of error of plus or minus 1.8 percentage points. This means that if this survey were repeated using the same questions and the same methodology, 19 times out of 20 , the findings would not vary from the true population value by more than plus or minus 3.7 or 1.8 percentage points, respectively. Results based on smaller samples of respondents-such as by gender or age-have a larger margin of error.


Republishing the copyright Iowa Poll without credit to The Des Moines Register, CNN, and Mediacom is prohibited.

[^4]
[^0]:    \#Jun-19: Not directly comparable. Percentages are among likely Democratic caucus participants who said they would definitely or probably attend in
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[^4]:    \#Jun-19: Not directly comparable. Percentages are among likely Democratic caucus participants who said they would definitely or probably attend in person as opposed to participating in a virtual caucus.

