# EMBARGOED FOR RELEASE: Saturday, November 16 at 8:00 P.m. ET 

## Percentages may not add to $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ due to rounding.

[Ask all.] How likely is it you will attend one of the caucuses scheduled for February of 2020 when presidential candidates will be on the agenda-will you definitely attend, probably attend, might or might not attend, or probably not attend? (If definitely or probably attend, ask:) Will you attend the Democratic or the Republican caucus?

Dec-18, Mar-19: How likely is it you will attend one of the caucuses scheduled for February of 2020 when presidential candidates will be on the agenda-will you definitely attend, probably attend, or probably not attend? (If definitely or probably attend, ask:) Will you attend the Democratic or the Republican caucus? [Mar-19: Note to interviewer: If respondent asks, virtually or in person? The words I have are "attend." In other words, offer no further clarification.]
\#Jun-19: This year the Democratic caucuses will be a little different. In addition to the regular meetings on caucus night, the Democratic Party of Iowa will convene virtual caucuses to take place online or by phone on several days leading up to the traditional, in-person caucus meetings on February 3rd. Do you think you would definitely participate in the 2020 caucuseseither in person or in a virtual caucus-probably participate, might or might not participate, or probably not participate?

Sep-19: First, how likely is it you will attend the Democratic party caucuses scheduled for February of 2020-will you definitely attend, probably attend, might or might not attend, or probably not attend?

|  | Definitely <br> attend <br> Democratic <br> caucus | Probably <br> attend <br> Democratic <br> caucus | Among likely Democratic caucusgoers <br> Definitely <br> attend <br> Republican <br> caucus | Probably <br> attend <br> Republican <br> caucus | Might or <br> might not <br> attend a <br> caucus | Probably <br> not attend a <br> caucus | Don't know <br> which <br> caucus will <br> attend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Not |
| :---: |
| Nure |

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Have you in the past attended party caucuses to show your preference for presidential candidates, or would this be your first time to participate? (If attended in the past, ask:) Was that a Republican caucus, a Democratic caucus, or both?

Mar-19, Jun-19: Have you attended caucuses in the past or will this be your first caucus? (If attended in past, ask:) Was that a Republican caucus, a Democratic caucus, or both?

|  | Attended <br> Republican caucus <br> in the past | Attended <br> Democratic caucus <br> in the past | Attended <br> both | First <br> caucus | Not <br> sure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov-19 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | - |
| Sep-19 | 8 | 64 | 9 | 19 | - |
| \#Jun-19 | 4 | 72 | 7 | 17 | - |
| Mar-19 | 6 | 62 | 7 | 25 | 1 |
| Dec-18 | 11 | 60 | 7 | 21 | - |

Now, I'm going to mention some candidates for the Democratic nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, mostly favorable, mostly unfavorable, or very unfavorable. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so. (Record "don't know" as "not sure." Rotate list.)

Comparisons to the final poll of the 2016 caucus cycle are included where available. Among likely Democratic caucusgoers in late Jan-16: Now, I'm going to mention some prominent politicians, including presidential candidates. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, mostly favorable, mostly unfavorable, or very unfavorable.

| Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. | Fav |  | Unf | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Very } \\ \text { Favorable } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\underset{\text { Mostly }}{\text { Favorable }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { Unfavorable } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Sure } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michael Bennet, U.S. senator from Colorado | Nov-19 | 25 | 27 | 5 | 20 | 19 | 8 | 47 |
|  | Sep-19 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 57 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 19 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 70 |
|  | Mar-19 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 75 |
| Joe Biden, former vice president of the United States | Nov-19 | 64 | 33 | 24 | 40 | 19 | 14 | 3 |
|  | Sep-19 | 66 | 29 | 29 | 38 | 17 | 12 | 5 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 72 | 24 | 34 | 38 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
|  | Mar-19 | 81 | 15 | 45 | 37 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Dec-18 | 82 | 15 | 47 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 4 |
| Joe Biden | late Jan-16 | 81 | 11 | 41 | 40 | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| Michael Bloomberg, former mayor of New York City | Nov-19 | 19 | 58 | 5 | 14 | 28 | 30 | 23 |
|  | Mar-19 | 27 | 38 |  | 24 | 27 | 11 | 34 |
|  | Dec-18 | 40 | 31 | 8 | 32 | 20 | 11 | 29 |
| Michael Bloomberg | late Jan-16 | 17 | 26 |  | 15 | 17 | 9 | 57 |
| Cory Booker, U.S. senator from New Jersey | Nov-19 | 52 | 28 | 14 | 38 | 20 | 9 | 19 |
|  | Sep-19 | 60 | 22 | 18 | 42 | 14 | 8 | 18 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 55 | 19 | 20 | 35 | 13 | 6 | 26 |
|  | Mar-19 | 53 | 14 | 13 | 39 | 9 | 5 | 33 |
|  | Dec-18 | 49 | 12 | 18 | 31 | 6 | 6 | 39 |
| Steve Bullock, governor of Montana | Nov-19 | 23 | 27 | 5 | 19 | 18 | 8 | 50 |
|  | Sep-19 | 18 | 23 | 4 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 59 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 19 | 10 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 71 |
|  | Mar-19 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 79 |
|  | Dec-18 | 11 | 8 |  | 9 | 5 | 3 | 82 |
| Pete Buttigieg, mayor of South Bend, Indiana | Nov-19 | 72 | 16 | 42 | 30 | 10 | 6 | 12 |
|  | Sep-19 | 69 | 13 | 33 | 35 | 8 | 5 | 18 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 61 | 12 | 32 | 29 | 7 | 5 | 26 |
|  | Mar-19 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 73 |


| Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. |  | Fav | Unf | Very <br> Favorable | Mostly <br> Favorable | Mostly Unfavorable | Very <br> Unfavorable | Not <br> Sure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Julián Castro, former U.S. secretary of Housing and Urban Development | Nov-19 | 35 | 35 | 8 | 26 | 24 | 11 | 30 |
|  | Sep-19 | 35 | 36 | 5 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 29 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 33 | 13 | 7 | 27 | 10 | 4 | 53 |
|  | Mar-19 | 33 | 8 | 7 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 58 |
|  | Dec-18 | 27 | 10 | 6 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 63 |
| John Delaney, former U.S. representative from Maryland | Nov-19 | 18 | 34 | 3 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 48 |
|  | Sep-19 | 17 | 34 | 3 | 14 | 23 | 11 | 49 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 27 | 18 | 6 | 21 | 13 | 5 | 55 |
|  | Mar-19 | 27 | 12 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 5 | 61 |
| John Delaney, U.S. congressman from Maryland | Dec-18 | 25 | 11 | 6 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 64 |
| Tulsi Gabbard, U.S. representative from Hawaii | Nov-19 | 25 | 45 | 7 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 30 |
|  | Sep-19 | 26 | 31 | 6 | 20 | 21 | 10 | 43 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 23 | 21 | 5 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 57 |
|  | Mar-19 | 22 | 15 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 64 |
| Kamala Harris, U.S. senator from California | Nov-19 | 55 | 31 | 16 | 39 | 16 | 15 | 13 |
|  | Sep-19 | 63 | 24 | 23 | 40 | 15 | 9 | 13 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 63 | 13 | 31 | 32 | 8 | 5 | 23 |
|  | Mar-19 | 58 | 9 | 23 | 35 | 4 | 5 | 33 |
|  | Dec-18 | 49 | 10 | 19 | 29 | 6 | 4 | 41 |
| Amy Klobuchar, U.S. senator from Minnesota | Nov-19 | 53 | 23 | 20 | 33 | 16 | 8 | 24 |
|  | Sep-19 | 52 | 22 | 17 | 36 | 16 | 6 | 26 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 44 | 18 | 12 | 32 | 14 | 4 | 38 |
|  | Mar-19 | 43 | 15 | 13 | 30 | 9 | 6 | 41 |
|  | Dec-18 | 38 | 8 | 16 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 54 |
| Bernie Sanders, U.S. senator from Vermont | Nov-19 | 61 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 23 | 12 | 4 |
|  | Sep-19 | 58 | 36 | 26 | 33 | 24 | 13 | 5 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 70 | 25 | 32 | 37 | 17 | 8 | 5 |
|  | Mar-19 | 71 | 25 | 37 | 34 | 16 | 9 | 4 |
|  | Dec-18 | 74 | 22 | 43 | 31 | 12 | 10 | 4 |
| Bernie Sanders | late Jan-16 | 82 | 12 | 42 | 40 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| Joe Sestak, former U.S. representative from Pennsylvania | Nov-19 | 8 | 24 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 7 | 69 |
|  | Sep-19 | 7 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 72 |
| Tom Steyer, businessman | Nov-19 | 37 | 39 | 10 | 27 | 24 | 15 | 24 |
|  | Sep-19 | 27 | 32 | 7 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 41 |
| Tom Steyer, hedge fund manager and activist | Dec-18 | 19 | 19 | 5 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 61 |
| Elizabeth Warren, U.S. senator from Massachusetts | Nov-19 | 71 | 25 | 36 | 34 | 14 | 11 | 5 |
|  | Sep-19 | 75 | 17 | 44 | 31 | 11 | 7 | 8 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 71 | 17 | 38 | 33 | 10 | 7 | 12 |
|  | Mar-19 | 63 | 20 | 25 | 38 | 12 | 8 | 17 |
|  | Dec-18 | 64 | 20 | 30 | 353 | 7 | 16 | 14 |
| Elizabeth Warren | late Jan-16 | 47 | 7 | 30 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 46 |
| Marianne Williamson, author | Nov-19 | 11 | 47 | 4 | 7 | 22 | 25 | 41 |
|  | Sep-19 | 9 | 48 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 23 | 42 |
| Marianne Williamson, author and activist | \#Jun-19 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 73 |
|  | Mar-19 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 80 |
| Andrew Yang, businessman | Nov-19 | 43 | 33 | 11 | 33 | 23 | 10 | 24 |
|  | Sep-19 | 36 | 32 | 7 | 29 | 22 | 10 | 32 |
|  | \#Jun-19 | 19 | 15 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 66 |
|  | Mar-19 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 81 |
|  | Dec-18 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 83 |

Which one of the following Democrats would be your first choice for president? (Read list and rotate.) And who would your second choice be? (If None of these or Not sure in first choice question, record "No first choice" in second choice question and do not ask. Read list only if necessary.)
(Ask all.) I'm going to read the list one more time, excluding any names you may have already mentioned. This time, please tell me if the person is someone you are actively considering or not. Just answer yes or no. (Read list, excluding answers to first and second choice questions. Mark all yeses. Same order as first choice question.)
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll} & & & & & & \\ \\ & & & & & & \\ \text { Candidate } \\ \text { footprint } \\ \text { (Added }\end{array}\right)$

Consistent with CNN practices, a zero in the horserace question indicates the candidate received no responses. An asterisk indicates at least one respondent selected the candidate, but the percentage rounds down to zero ( $<0.5$ ).
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} \\ & & & & & \\ \\ & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Candidate } \\ \text { footprint } \\ \text { (Added }\end{array} \\ \text { across }\end{array}\right)$

Consistent with CNN practices, a zero in the horserace question indicates the candidate received no responses. An asterisk indicates at least one respondent selected the candidate, but the percentage rounds down to zero (<0.5).

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. How would you describe your level of enthusiasm for your first-choice candidate [CANDIDATE NAME]-are you extremely enthusiastic, very enthusiastic, mildly enthusiastic, or not that enthusiastic? (Asked only of those who selected a first-choice candidate, but total based on all.)

|  | Extremely <br> enthusiastic | Very <br> enthusiastic | Mildly <br> enthusiastic | Not that <br> enthusiastic | Not <br> sure | No first-choice <br> candidate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov-19 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Sep-19 | 28 | 39 | 16 | 2 | - | 16 |
| \#Jun-19 | 31 | 41 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 13 |

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Regardless of your level of enthusiasm, would you say your mind is made up to support [FIRST CHOICE CANDIDATE] or could you still be persuaded to support another candidate as your first choice? (Asked only of those who selected a first-choice candidate, but total based on all.)

|  | Mind is <br> made up | Could be <br> persuaded | Not <br> sure | No first-choice <br> candidate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov-19 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Sep-19 | 20 | 63 | 1 | 16 |

I'm going to mention these candidates again. For each, I'd like you to tell me if you think the person's political views are too liberal, about right, or too conservative. (Rotate list.)

| Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. |  | Too <br> Liberal | About <br> Right | Too <br> Conservative | Not <br> Sure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joe Biden | Nov-19 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| Pete Buttigieg | Mar-19 | 6 | 70 | 14 | 10 |
| Bernie Sanders | $\mathbf{N o v - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| Elizabeth Warren | $\mathbf{N o v - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
|  | Mar-19 | 44 | 48 | 2 | 7 |
|  | Nov-19 | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
|  | Mar-19 | 23 | 54 | 4 | 19 |

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Which is more important to you personally: (Read items. Rotate.)

|  | That the winner of the Iowa Democratic <br> caucus be a candidate for president with a <br> strong chance of beating Donald Trump | That the winner of the Iowa Democratic <br> caucus be a candidate for president who <br> shares your positions on major issues | Not <br> Sure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov-19 | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Sep-19 | 63 | 31 | 6 |
| \#Jun-19 | 65 | 31 | 4 |
| Dec-18 | 54 | 40 | 6 |

Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. Thinking about the way a candidate for president might approach their policy positions, would you rather see the Democratic presidential nominee: (Read choices. Do not rotate.)

36 Advocate for policies that would result in big changes, even if they have a lower chance of becoming law
52 Advocate for policies that have a good chance of becoming law, even if the changes they make aren't as big
11 Not sure

For each of the following potential Democratic nominees, please tell me how confident you are the person could beat Donald Trump - do you feel almost certain the person will beat Donald Trump, fairly confident, not very confident, or are you almost certain that the Democrat will lose? (Read list. Rotate.)

| Among likely Democratic caucusgoers. | Almost <br> Certain to <br> Beat | Fairly <br> Confident | Not Very <br> Confident | Almost <br> Certain to <br> Lose | Not <br> Sure |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Joe Biden | Nov-19 | 25 | 27 | 22 | 21 | 5 |
| Pete Buttigieg | Nov-19 | 16 | 30 | 24 | 19 | 10 |
| Bernie Sanders | Nov-19 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 31 | 6 |
| Elizabeth Warren | Nov-19 | 15 | 31 | 22 | 24 | 8 |

## Likely Democratic caucusgoers

Compared to:

Study \#2196
602 likely Democratic caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 4.0$ percentage points
Study \#2190
433 Likely in-person Democratic caucus attenders
Margin of error: $\pm 4.7$ percentage points
Study \#2185
401 likely Democratic caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 5.0$ percentage points
Study \#2182
455 likely Democratic caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 4.6$ percentage points
Study \#2133
602 Democratic likely caucusgoers
Margin of error: $\pm 4.0$ percentage points for
Study \#1921
399 Democratic likely caucus-goers
October 1-3, 2007
Margin of error: $\pm 4.9$ percentage points
September 14-18, 2019
3,510 active registered voter contacts
weighted by age, sex, and congressional district
June 2-5, 2019
3,776 active registered voter contacts weighted by age, sex, and congressional district

March 3-6, 2019
1,618 active registered voter contacts
weighted by age and sex
December 10-13, 2018
1,838 active registered voter contacts weighted by age and congressional district

January 26-29, 2016
3,019 contacts weighted by age, sex, and congressional district to conform to active voters in the Iowa voter registration list

## About the Poll

The Iowa Poll, conducted November 8-13, 2019, for The Des Moines Register, CNN and Mediacom by Selzer \& Co. of Des Moines, is based on telephone interviews with 500 registered voters in Iowa who say they will definitely or probably attend the 2020 Democratic caucuses and 502 registered Republicans who are not planning to participate in the Democratic caucuses.

Interviewers with Quantel Research contacted 2,012 randomly selected active voters from the Iowa secretary of state's voter registration list by telephone. The sample was supplemented with additional phone number lookups. Interviews were administered in English. Responses for all contacts were adjusted by age and congressional district to reflect their proportions among active voters in the list. For the registered Republican sample, responses for the 533 registered Republican contacts were adjusted by age and congressional district to reflect their proportions among active registered Republicans in the voter registration list.

Questions based on the sample of 500 voters likely to attend the 2020 Iowa Democratic caucuses have a maximum margin of error of plus or minus 4.4 percentage points, as does the sample of 502 registered Republicans. This means that if this survey were repeated using the same questions and the same methodology, 19 times out of 20, the findings would not vary from the true population value by more than plus or minus 4.4 percentage points. Results based on smaller samples of respondents-such as by gender or age - have a larger margin of error.

Republishing the copyright Iowa Poll without credit to The Des Moines Register, CNN, and Mediacom is prohibited.

